Determination of Discharge Coefficient for both Semicircular and Triangular Labyrinth Weirs
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ABSTRACT

A Labyrinth weir is a type of nonlinear weir folded in plan-view, with the ability to pass a large flow at low heads due to an increase in the effective length of the weir crest for a given channel width. The discharge coefficients ($C_d$) were experimentally determined for both semicircular and triangular labyrinth weirs of varying sidewall angles ($\alpha$) under free-flow conditions using eight physical models. Dimensional analyses are conducted using the Buckingham $\pi$ theorem to find the dependent and non-dependent variables. A nonlinear empirical equation was developed using (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 22) software. The findings show that the discharge coefficient decreases with the increase of headwater to ($H/P$) for both types of labyrinth weir with different plan forms. It is found that the efficiency of the semicircular and triangular labyrinth is higher than linear weir for ($H/P \leq 0.45$) and decreases with increasing the value of ($H/P$) due to interference of flow between the layers in downstream. The obtained results revealed that the discharge coefficient ($C_d$) values obtained empirically using multivariable power regression and 5th degree polynomial equations considerably agree with the experimental data, for both of the semicircular and triangular labyrinth weirs. Furthermore, the correlation coefficient ($R^2$) was (0.9951) and (0.9637) using 5th degree polynomial equation, and their values were (0.9843) and (0.9274) using multivariable power regression equation for both semicircular and triangular labyrinth weir models, respectively. The results in the present study compared quite well with the predicted results of the proposed equations obtained by other investigators.
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INTRODUCTION

Labyrinth weirs are especially well suited for spillway rehabilitation where dam safety concerns, freeboard limitations, and a revised with larger probable maximum flow have required modification or replacement of the spillway (Crookston, 2010), and (Darvas, 1971).

Labyrinth weirs produce an increase in crest length for a specific channel thickness. Flow over labyrinth weirs is very complex as it is three-dimensional and influenced by many parameters. Therefore, a large number of laboratory tests are needed to determine empirically the influence of the various parameters on the discharge capacity (Schleiss, 2011). The nappes from two weirs placed at an angle with each other will have an impact over a limited length of the weir crest as shown in Figure 1. The effect of the nappe interference is to decrease the discharge. Interference occurs when the jets from the two sidewalls or the apex and the sidewall intersect (Falvey, 2003).

Numerous theoretical and experimental studies have been conducted by many investigators on the hydraulics of labyrinth weirs. (Crookston and Tullis, 2010) used numerical and physical model to calculate the hydraulic performance of labyrinth weir using high water head ratio which is more than one, their results using the computational fluid dynamic method compiled well with discharge that computed from the physical model. (Bilhan et al., 2016) experimentally investigated the discharge capacity of sharp-crested semicircular labyrinth weir and trapezoidal labyrinth weir with sidewall angle equal to (37°), their results show that trapezoidal weir is hydraulically more efficient than semicircular labyrinth and semicircular labyrinth weir have higher discharge coefficient than that of the straight weir. (Ghare et al., 2008) suggest a mathematical model to find the maximum discharge coefficient of trapezoidal labyrinth weir, and methodology for hydraulic design of trapezoidal labyrinth was carried out. It has been calculated that the optimal $C_d$ for weir height ratio can be found from Eq. (1). Furthermore, the recommended effective crested length of the proposed labyrinth weir can be obtained from equation (2); (Anderson and Tullis, 2012), adopted Eq.(1) to find maximum discharge coefficient of trapezoidal labyrinth weir, and a methodology for hydraulic design of trapezoidal labyrinth weir as shown in Figure 2. Furthermore, they recommended effective crested length of the proposed labyrinth weir by using Eq.(2). (Bilhan...
et al., 2016) were experimentally determined the discharge coefficients for both sharp-crested semi-circular labyrinth weirs and trapezoidal labyrinth weirs of sidewall angle (α = 37°). From their experimental study, they found that the discharge coefficient of the circular labyrinth weir is higher than that of the linear weir but lower than that of the trapezoidal weir.

(Azimi and Hakim, 2019) conducted laboratory experiments to study the hydraulics of flow over rectangular labyrinth weirs in both free and submerged flow conditions. They found that the discharge coefficients decreased with increasing the approach velocity and the pool aspect ratio. Semi-empirical formulations were developed to predict the discharge over rectangular labyrinth weirs in free-flow condition.

\[
Q = \frac{2}{3} C_d L \sqrt{2g H^3} 
\]

Where: Q is the discharge over the labyrinth weir

\[C_d\] is the dimensionless discharge coefficient

L is the characteristic length (developed crest length)

g is acceleration due to gravity.

\[H_t\] is the total head over the weir, \[H_t = \frac{v^2}{2g} + H\]

\[C_d = 0.1714 \ln \frac{H_t}{P} + 0.867\] (2)

(Taylor, 1968) presented initial studies on the behavior of labyrinth weirs and compared the hydraulic performance of it with sharp-crested weirs. (Hay and Taylor, 1970) followed up on Taylor’s work and developed a design criterion for labyrinth weirs, based on their research finding, they suggested Eq. (3) to estimate the discharge coefficient \[C_d\] of labyrinth weirs.

\[C_d = 3.22 + 0.4 \left(\frac{H_t}{P}\right)\]

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Theoretical Discharge (Q) and Total Head over the Weir (H_t) Relationships

Eq. (1) for flow over labyrinth weir was used, as explained earlier in this study.

Theoretical \([C_d]\) with \((H_t/P)\) Relationships

The headwater ratio \((H_t/P)\) is the total head \(H_t\) on the weir divided by the weir height \(P\). It’s recommended taking the maximum headwater ratio \((H_t/P) = 0.9\) for triangular labyrinth weir form (Tullis et al., 1995). Eq. (4) designated as the Rehbock formula proposed by (Subramanya, 1972) was used forth analysis of the free flow over the triangular and semicircular plan form labyrinth weirs and for calculating the discharge coefficient \([C_d]\).

\[C_d = 0.611 + 0.083 \left(\frac{H_t}{P}\right)\] (4)

Impact of Magnification Ratio \((L/W)\) on Discharge (Q)

The magnification ratio is the length of the labyrinth crest length divided by width of the weir \((L/W)\). It represents the theoretical maximum magnification of discharge when compared with a linear weir (Crookston, 2010). For the triangular labyrinth, the sidewall angle \((\alpha)\) and the magnification ratio are interrelated. The angle is given by Eq. (5) as demonstrated in Figure 3.

\[\alpha = \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{W}{2} \times B\right)\] (5)

Where \(B = \text{Side length of the semicircular labyrinth weir}\) as shown in Figure 3.
Effect of the Vertical Aspect Ratio (W/P) on the Hydraulic Performance of Labyrinth Weir

The vertical aspect ratio (W/P) is the width of a weir divided by the weir height (Hay and Taylor, 1970) show that the aspect ratio has no significance if it’s >2. (Taylor, 1968) recommends that to minimize the effect of nappe interference, the (W/P) should be larger than 2.

Dimensional Analysis

For triangular labyrinth weir

The variables that might have an impact on the discharge of the triangular labyrinth weir are height of the weir (p), width of triangular labyrinth weir (W), head over the triangular weir (H), total development crest length (L), side wall angle (α), thickness of the wall (t = 0.8 cm), surface tension (σ), viscosity (μ), mass density (ρ), and gravitational acceleration (g) as shown in Figure 3. A general functional equation for these variables can be written as:

\[ Q = f(\rho, g, \mu, \sigma, V, H, L, P, W, \alpha) \]  

(6)

Using π theorem (Chow, 1959) the following dimensionless terms were carried out from Eq. (6) as presented in Eq. 7.

\[ \frac{Q}{\sqrt{g H^2}} = \phi \left[ \frac{Re}{W}, \frac{H}{L}, \frac{H}{W}, \frac{Fr}{P}, \frac{H}{\alpha} \right] \]  

(7)

It’s recommended that the flow condition is subcritical; therefore Froude, Reynolds and Weber numbers can be negligible. The most important geometrical parameter that influence discharge coefficient is presented in Eq. (8)

\[ C_d = \phi \left[ \frac{L}{W}, \frac{H}{P} \right] \]  

(8)

For semicircular labyrinth weir

The discharge over the semicircular labyrinth depends on the following variables:

Height of semicircular labyrinth weir (P), width of the semicircular labyrinth weir (W), total crest length of labyrinth weir (L), flow over the labyrinth weir (H), constant wall thickness (t = 0.8 cm), radius of semi-circle (R) as shown in Figure 4.

\[ Q = (R, H, L, P, W) \]  

(9)

Experimental Work and Setup

The experiments performed at the Laboratory of Hydraulic, Department of Civil Engineering. Salahaddin University. The experiments were performed on a smooth toughened glass sided and smooth bed steel plate channel of 3 m working length. The flume cross-sectional area is 25 cm wide and 30 cm deep. The longitudinal slope of the Flume can be adjusted mechanically by two hydraulic jacks raised and lowered manually to establish the required slope.

Water supplied to the flume from an underground concrete storage tank of internal dimensions (7.5 m length, 2.5 m width, and 1.0 m depth). Water drawn from the underground storage tank by an electrically driven centrifugal pump through a 6-inch diameter Steel pipe to the overhead tank providing a total discharge of (50 l/s). The discharge in the flume was measured by means of a V-notch weir located at the end of the overhead tank as presented in Eq. (11) (Mohammed, 2010)

\[ Q\delta = 0.0195H^{1.39} \]  

(11)

Where:

- \( Q\delta \): Discharge of the channel in liter/sec, and
- \( H\): Head of water above the V-notch weir in cm.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For Triangular Labyrinth Weir

Variation of (H/P) with (C_d) for different values of (α)

Figure 7 shows the variation of headwater ratio (H/P) with the coefficient of discharge (C_d) for different values of sidewall angles (α) 37°, 30°, and 23° respectively with constant height (P) as shown in Figures 5 and 6.

The performance of the semicircular labyrinth weir can be examined well if the radius (R) of the semicircle will be various, as the crest length will be changed by changing the radius (Bilhan et al., 2016), The model of triangular plan form constructed with various sidewall angles (α) 37°, 30°, and 23° respectively with constant height (P) as shown in Figures 5 and 6. Each flow state consists of a number of runs. For each run, the depth of water above the V-notch weir (H) and the corresponding uniform water depth in the canal upstream of the tested model (Y) were measured.
sidewall angles (α). The results indicate that the discharge coefficient (C_d) increased as the water head ratio (H/P) decreased and the sidewall angles (α) increased. Due to interference of overflowing layer for high value of (H/P), the triangular plan form with 37° has more effectiveness.
Impact of magnification ratio (L/W) on discharge (Q)

Because the discharge coefficient decreases with increasing head, labyrinth weirs have the greatest application where the head is small. Figure 8 demonstrates the variation of discharge capacity with total \( (H_t) \) with different value of magnification ratio \( (L/W) \), in which indicated that the triangular labyrinth weir with higher value of \( (L/W) \) gives higher value of discharge capacity. It means that with increasing the value of \( (L/W) \) the hydraulic performance of labyrinth weir with triangular plan form increase, in addition, due to increased crest length of this weir more discharges of water will flow with low head.

For Semicircular Labyrinth Weir

Variation of \( (H_t) \) with \( (Q) \) and \( (W/P) \)

Figure 9 indicates that increasing the aspect ratio \( (W/P) \), discharge \((q)\) will be decreased this result confirms the findings of (Hay and Taylor, 1970) that labyrinth semicircular weirs performance increased for value of \( (W/P) <2 \).

Variation of \( (H_t) \) with \( (C_d) \) and \( (W/P) \)

Figure 10 shows that increasing \( (H_t/P) \) will decrease \( (C_d) \), and increasing \( (W/P) \) will increase \( (C_d) \).

Empirical Equations

Nonlinear Regression equation developed in Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 22 program to predict the discharge coefficient \( (C_d) \) for each models of semicircular labyrinth weir and triangular labyrinth weir as follows:

For triangular labyrinth weir

Table 3 shows the estimated coefficient of discharge \( (C_d) \) using (SPSS 22) software and 5th degree polynomial equation with correlation coefficient \( R^2=0.99 \) for all sidewall angles \( (\alpha) \). All \( (C_d) \) relations were in terms of \( (H_t/P) \) for each model which gives the best fit (very high correlation coefficient) as shown in Eq. (12), Eq. (13), and Eq. (14).

Based on Eq. (8) with correlation coefficient \( R^2=0.96 \). Multivariable power regression was created to relate \( (C_d) \) and \( (H_t/P) \) in an empirical relation which was in terms of \( (H_t/P), (L/W) \) as shown in Eq. (15).
Table 3: Different (Cd) Equations using 5th degree polynomial equations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Side wall angle (α)</th>
<th>Coefficients of discharge (C_d) using 5th degree polynomial equations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37°</td>
<td>[ C_d = 3.64 - 44.19 \left( \frac{H_i}{p} \right)^3 + 140.207 \left( \frac{H_i}{p} \right)^4 - 170.856 \left( \frac{H_i}{p} \right)^5 + 99.414 \left( \frac{H_i}{p} \right)^6 - 27.742 \left( \frac{H_i}{p} \right)^7 ] (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°</td>
<td>[ C_d = 2.333 - 16.845 \left( \frac{H_i}{p} \right)^3 + 57.904 \left( \frac{H_i}{p} \right)^4 - 76.335 \left( \frac{H_i}{p} \right)^5 + 47.923 \left( \frac{H_i}{p} \right)^6 - 14.506 \left( \frac{H_i}{p} \right)^7 ] (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23°</td>
<td>[ C_d = 2.798 - 51.479 \left( \frac{H_i}{p} \right)^3 + 140.72 \left( \frac{H_i}{p} \right)^4 - 150.902 \left( \frac{H_i}{p} \right)^5 + 79.35 \left( \frac{H_i}{p} \right)^6 - 20.8 \left( \frac{H_i}{p} \right)^7 ] (14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For semicircular weir

Table 4 demonstrates different coefficients of discharge (C_d) obtained by using (SPSS 22) software and 5th degree polynomial equation with correlation R^2 = 0.99 for all semicircular plan form models. All (C_d) relations were in terms of (H_i/P) for each models which gives the best fit (very high correlation coefficient) as shown in Eq. (16), Eq. (17), Eq. (18), Eq. (19), and Eq. (20).

Based on Eq. (10) with correlation coefficient R^2 = 0.927, multivariable nonlinear regression was used to correlate (C_d) and (H_i/P) in an empirical relation. The (C_d) relations were in terms of (H_i/P), (R/W), and (W/P) as shown in Eq. (21)

\[ C_d = 3.41 - 1.906 \left( \frac{H_i}{P} \right)^2 + 4.526 \left( \frac{H_i}{P} \right)^3 - 3.593 \left( \frac{H_i}{P} \right)^4 + 0.844 \left( \frac{H_i}{P} \right)^5 - 0.249 \left( \frac{W}{P} \right)^2 + 1.316 \left( \frac{W}{P} \right) + 29.755 \left( \frac{R}{W} \right)^2 - 23.355 \left( \frac{R}{W} \right) \] (21)

Comparison of (C_d) predicted from nonlinear regression equations to (C_d) experimentally observed.

For Triangular Labyrinth Weir Models

Figure 11 demonstrates the comparison of (C_d) predicted with (C_d) observed using 5th degree polynomial equation. Figure 12 shows the relation between predicted (C_d) obtained using multivariable power regression equation and observed (C_d) obtained experimentally for triangular weir.

It is observed that both 5th degree polynomial and multivariable power regression equations are in excellent agreement for labyrinth triangular weirs.

For Semicircular Labyrinth Weir Models

Figure 13 shows relation between Predicted (C_d) obtained from 5th degree polynomial equation and
observed \( C_d \) obtained from experiment results. Figure 14 shows a comparison between \( C_d \) value predicted by multivariable regression equation and \( C_d \) observed experimentally.

The results indicate that both 5\textsuperscript{th} degree polynomial and multivariable power regression equations are in excellent agreement with the results obtained experimentally for the semicircular labyrinth weir.

\textbf{Comparison of \( C_d \) predicted to \( C_d \) observed from other studies}

Most of the previous works were done on hydraulic characteristics of flow passing over labyrinth weir with different plan forms. Figure 15 shows the comparison of the present study results for labyrinth weir with different plan forms and linear weir with other investigators. It is indicated that the present study results lay within the results obtained by (Tullis et al., 1995). Also, the results do not comply with (Subramanya, 1972) findings. Because he used a linear weir.
The discharge coefficient decreases (C<sub>d</sub>) with increasing the value of (H/P) due to interference of flow between the layers in downstream.

The efficiency of the semicircular and triangular labyrinth is higher than linear weir for (H/P ≤ 0.45) and decreases with increasing the value of (H/P) due to interference of flow between the layers in downstream.

The aspect ratio (W/P) has an effect on the discharge coefficient (C<sub>d</sub>) of the semicircular and triangular labyrinth weirs respectively.

The discharge coefficient decreases (C<sub>d</sub>) with increasing the value of (H/P) for both labyrinth and semicircular weir models.

The empirical equations predicting the discharge coefficient (C<sub>d</sub>) of the semicircular and triangular labyrinth weirs were developed. According to the suggested equation (C<sub>d</sub>) depends on dimensionless parameter (H/P). In addition, experiment results in this study indicates that the discharge coefficient (C<sub>d</sub>) depends on dimensionless parameters, which are the ratios of (H/P), (L/W), (H/P), (R/W), and (W/P) for the triangular and semicircular labyrinth weirs respectively.

The correlation coefficient R<sup>2</sup> was (0.9951) and (0.9637) using 5<sup>th</sup> degree polynomial equation, and their values were (0.9643) and (0.9274) using multivariable power regression for the triangular and labyrinth weir models respectively.

The results in the present study compared well with (Tullis et al., 1995).

CONCLUSIONS

The experimental investigation was performed to study the discharge capacity of the different plan forms of labyrinth weir under free-flow condition in a rectangular channel. The key messages of this study are the following:

1. For triangular labyrinth weir, the discharge coefficient (C<sub>d</sub>) decreases with a decrease of sidewall angle (α) the triangular weir with α=37° gave a higher discharge coefficient (C<sub>d</sub>) than the triangular weir with α=23°.

2. The discharge coefficient decreases (C<sub>d</sub>) with increasing headwater ratio (H/P) for both labyrinth and semicircular weir models.

3. The efficiency of the semicircular and triangular labyrinth is higher than linear weir for (H/P ≤ 0.45) and decreases with increasing the value of (H/P) due to interference of flow between the layers in downstream.

4. The aspect ratio (W/P) has an effect on the performance of the labyrinth weir.

5. The empirical equations predicting the discharge coefficient (C<sub>d</sub>) of the semicircular and triangular labyrinth weirs were developed. According to the suggested equation (C<sub>d</sub>) depends on dimensionless parameter (H/P). In addition, experiment results in this study indicates that the discharge coefficient (C<sub>d</sub>) depends on dimensionless parameters, which are the ratios of (H/P), (L/W), (H/P), (R/W), and (W/P) for the triangular and semicircular labyrinth weirs respectively.

6. The empirically obtained equations for discharge coefficient (C<sub>d</sub>) agree considerably with the experimental data for the semicircular and labyrinth weir models.

7. The results in the present study compared well with (Tullis et al., 1995).
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